From: <u>Jamie Belcourt (adpce.ad)</u>

To: Toomer, Jonathan (jonathan.toomer@mecinc.com); steve.adcock@mecinc.com

Cc: Jason Bolenbaugh (adpce.ad); Stacie Wassell (adpce.ad); Richard Healey (adpce.ad)

Subject: Defiance Metal Products of Arkansas (Pretreatment ID ARP001047; AFIN 12-00214) Pretreatment Compliance

Inspection Report

Date: Monday, December 5, 2022 4:21:39 PM
Attachments: Defiance Metals Products IU 20220928.pdf

image003.png

Mr. Toomer,

Attached is Defiance Metal Products' Industrial User Inspection Report for the pretreatment compliance inspection conducted on September 28, 2022.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Thank you,

Jamie Belcourt | State Pretreatment Coordinator

Division of Environmental Quality | Office of Water Quality Policy and Administration

5301 Northshore Drive | North Little Rock, AR 72118 t: 501.682.0858 | e: jamie.belcourt@adeq.state.ar.us





December 6, 2022

Mr. Jonathan Toomer, EHS Manager **Defiance Metal Products** 944 Bypass Rd.

Heber Springs, AR 72543

Via email to: jonathan.toomer@mecinc.com

RE: Industrial User Inspection

> AFIN: 12-00214 Permit Tracking No.: ARP001047

Dear Mr. Toomer:

On September 28, 2022, I performed an Industrial User Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. Please refer to the inspection report for more detail and comments. If I can be of any assistance please contact me at (501) 682-0858 or Jamie.Belcourt@adeq.state.a.us.

Sincerely,

Jamie Belcourt

Jamie Belant

State Pretreatment Coordinator, Office of Water Quality 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR, 72118

Pretreatment Compliance Inspection				
Facility Information				
Facility Name:	Site Address	:		
Defiance Metal Products (dba Mayville	944 Bypass I	Road		
Engineering Company)	Heber Spring	gs, AR 72543		
Signatory Authority (Name & Title): Jonathan T	Coomer, EHS N	Manager		
Phone: (501) 887-4719	Mailing Add	ress (if different):		
Address: Same as site address	Corporate O	wner Name and address (if applicable):		
	Defiance Me			
	715 South St			
Contact Person (Name & Title):	Mayville, W			
Jonathan Toomer, EHS Manager	Filone. (920)	1 387-4300		
·	Fax:			
Phone: (501) 362-1919 Fax:	Corporate Cl	EO.		
	Email:	ео:		
Email: jonathan.toomer@mecinc.com		on Detect11/00/2011		
Facility Tracking #ARP001047 ; AFIN: 12-00214	Last Inspecti	on Date:11/09/2011		
POTW (City) IU discharges to: Heber Springs POTW's NPDES #AR0022381				
Industrial Classification: 🛛 Categorical 🔲 Sig	gnificant			
If Categorical, list which CFR #(s) the facility is	subject to: 40	CFR 433.17 (Metal Finishing PSNS)		
Table of Contents				
I. Summary of Inspection Page 2 of 14				
Page 2 of 14 A. Inspection Objectives				
B. Inspection Analysis				
II. Pre-Inspection Meeting				
Page 3 of 14				
A. General Information B. Facility Permits				
C. Additional Comments				
III. Attachments				
A. Industrial Processes		Yes No Page 6 of 14		
		Yes No Page 8 of 14		
		Yes No Page 9 of 14		
D. Chemical Storage	, <u> </u>			
E. Spill/Slug Control Plan	E. Spill/Slug Control Plan Yes No Page 12 of 14			
F. Self-Monitoring/TOMP		Yes No Page 13 of 14		
Comments: None.				
Inspector's Name (Print): Jamie Belcourt Signature:				
Date and Time Inspection Ended: 09/28/2022 @ 1400				

	I. Summary of Inspection				
A. Inst	pection and Objective (Con	nple	ete Before Inspection)		
Permit Renewal		Î	Spill/Slug Unscheduled		
New Construction					
Inspection Objective(s): Prefacility processes/changes s		ectio	on; conduct facility walk-through to u	ıpdate	
Checklist of items to be rev	iewed and/or visually inspec	ted:	:		
Pre-inspection Meeting	Permit Conditions	\boxtimes	Safety Concerns		
□ Process Inspection	Pretreatment Process	\boxtimes	TOMP		
Chemical Storage	□ Discharge point(s)	\boxtimes	Spills/Slug Control Plan		
Records Review	☐ RCRA information	\boxtimes	Process/Flow/Pretreatment Schema	ntics	
			Calibration Records		
	☐ New MSDS]		
(Coatings Engineer), and Ja	Comments: Inspection participants included Jonathan Toomer (EHS Manager), Steve Adcock (Coatings Engineer), and Jamie Belcourt (State Pretreatment Coordinator). Both facility representatives were knowledgeable of facility operations and wastewater generation and pretreatment on site				
B. Inspection Analysis					
	B. Inspection A	naly	ysis		
Were there any deficiencies	B. Inspection As/violations identified and no		<u> </u>	o	
	s/violations identified and no	ted	<u> </u>	О	
Provide a brief narrative of Records Review: Reviewed during the 11/09/2011 inspec	s/violations identified and no deficiencies/violations or other and observed production an ection to determine any chan	ted oner oner of the design of	during the inspection?	1	
Provide a brief narrative of Records Review: Reviewed during the 11/09/2011 inspension last inspection date. R	deficiencies/violations or other and observed production and ection to determine any chan be eviewed sampling analytical	ted oner oner of the design of	during the inspection? Yes Nonconcerns in the following areas: retreatment processes that were given that have been made with said proce	1	
Provide a brief narrative of Records Review: Reviewed during the 11/09/2011 inspection date. R inspection. Process Area(s): Clean and	deficiencies/violations or other and observed production and ection to determine any chan be eviewed sampling analytical	ted oner of the depth of the de	during the inspection? Yes Nonconcerns in the following areas: retreatment processes that were given that have been made with said procesta on file at OWQ prior to today's	1	
Provide a brief narrative of Records Review: Reviewed during the 11/09/2011 inspection date. R inspection. Process Area(s): Clean and Pretreatment System: Chem Self-Monitoring and/or Rep	s/violations identified and no deficiencies/violations or othe and observed production and ection to determine any chandle eviewed sampling analytical orderly.	ted oner of the depth of the de	during the inspection? Yes Nonconcerns in the following areas: retreatment processes that were given that have been made with said proce to the at OWQ prior to today's ation	1 sses	
Provide a brief narrative of Records Review: Reviewed during the 11/09/2011 inspection date. R inspection. Process Area(s): Clean and Pretreatment System: Chem Self-Monitoring and/or Rep	deficiencies/violations or other and observed production and ection to determine any chant deviewed sampling analytical orderly. In an	ted oner of the depth of the de	during the inspection? Yes Nonconcerns in the following areas: retreatment processes that were given that have been made with said proce to the at OWQ prior to today's ation	1 sses	
Provide a brief narrative of Records Review: Reviewed during the 11/09/2011 inspection date. R inspection. Process Area(s): Clean and Pretreatment System: Chempipe in the middle of the tropipe in the middle of the trop	deficiencies/violations or other and observed production and ection to determine any chant deviewed sampling analytical orderly. Inical Precipitation and Sedim porting Procedures: Sampling ough in which supernatant from A	d proges I dat	during the inspection? Yes Nonconcerns in the following areas: retreatment processes that were given that have been made with said procesta on file at OWQ prior to today's ation wint is a downward vertical entrance to the clarifier is fed. ecessary. No slug discharge potential city sewer. The wastewater system is	o a 3"	

II. Pre-Inspection Meeting				
A. General Information				
Date and Time Inspection Started: 09/28/2022 @ 1216		SIC code(s): 3469/32551 & 332116		
IU Reps/Titles: Jonathan Toomer (EHS Manager), Steve Adcock (Coatings Engin	neer)	Control Authority Re (State Pretreatment C	ps/Titles: Jamie Belcourt oordinator)	
End product(s): Metal parts for buses and	l trucks			
Days of Operation: 5		1 11 1	oduced: N/A; Facility is and not production-based.	
Hours of Operation: 0600 - 1430		Days of Production (i	f different): same	
Shift 1, hrs.: 0600 - 1430		Hours of Production (per week	(if different): 1 shift, 5 days	
Number of Employees: 226		Shift 2, hrs.: N/A		
Are there any scheduled plant shutdowns Yes No No N/A If yes, when? Holiday slowdown	?	Shift 3, hrs.: N/A		
Are there designated plant clean-up	Peak Mo	os.:	"Off" Mos.:	
days? Yes No No N/A	May – A	August	November - December	
Is the facility currently in compliance with Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, explain:	th all pret	reatment reporting requ	airements and limits?	
Are there special entry procedures for the If yes, explain:	e discharg	e/sample point location	ns? Yes 🗌 No 🔀	
Are there safety concerns/identified hazards that the inspector should be aware of? Yes No If yes, explain: Typical hazards associated with production facilities. Ear and eye protection, and steel-toed boots are required. Physical and chemical hazards present through the process and pretreatment areas.				
Have there been any changes since the la	st inspect	ion regarding the follow	wing items:	
Plant/flow/process layout? Yes ⊠ No	If yes.	, obtain copy of update	d schematic for facility file.	
Since the 11/09/2011 inspection, the facility has expanded its electro-coating (e-coat) and powder coat (p-coat) lines. Facility has also added a laser operation that is not connected to the pretreatment system. Regulated wastewater is generated only by the e-coat process, per facility personnel. Facility changes since the last inspection date have not impacted the processes. Facility still utilizes the same system and chemicals.				
Processes? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \(\subseteq \)				
If yes, explain:				
Production Levels? Yes No 🗌				
If yes, explain: Since the last inspection in 2011, production levels have increased.				
Raw materials? Yes No No				
If yes, explain: Flow rates? Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \) If yes, explain:				

Are regulated and non-regulated wastestreams combined?	Yes No No N/A
If so, are wastestreams combined prior to entrance into the pretreatment system?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
If wastestreams combine, was the combined wastestream formula (CWF) used to calculate limits?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Was the CWF applied prior to connection to the POTW sanitary sewer?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
At the connection to sanitary sewer?	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Production and flows verified for production-based standards?	Yes No
What is the current average production rate and process flow? Facility averages approximately 5,000 gallons per day (GPD) with a max	imum of 6,500 GPD.
Is the production rate or flow substantially different (+/-20%) from those used in calculating limits?	Yes No

B. DEQ Facility Permits				
Permit Media & Type Permit Number Status & Expiration Date				
Air	N/A			
RCRA	ARR000009480	Inactive		
NPDES Industrial Stormwater General Permit	ARR000444	June 30, 2024		
NPDES Pretreatment	ARP001047	Tracking Identifier Only		
	C. Additional Com	ments		
None.	achment comments are regard			

Attachment A: Industrial Process(es)					
List process(es) generating wastewater. Note if the process is categorical by definition [40 C.F.R. chapter I, subchapter N, Parts 405 – 471]					
1. 6% Magna spray cleaner	Yes 🔀 No 🗌	4. Rinse conditioner	Yes No 🗌		
2. 7-10% Magna spray cleaner	Yes 🔀 No 🗌	5. Zinc phosphate rinse	Yes No 🗌		
3. City water rinses	Yes No 🗌	6.	Yes No No		
Were processes visually inspected? Yes No No N/A					

Brief description of process(es): According to Steve Adcock, the twelve (12) step bath process steps include: 1) a 6% Magna spray cleaner, 2) a 7-10% Magna spray cleaner, 3) a city water rinse, 4) a rinse conditioner bath, 5) a heated zinc phosphate, 6) a city water rinse, 7) Chem Sealer 59, 8) a non-chrome sealer, 9) a countercurrent deionized water rinse, 10) a sloped dip paint tank with an estimated 20% e-coat [positive charged black paint in deionized water],11) two permeate rinses, and 12) a final virgin deionized water rinse. Wastewater generated via processes is tracked on a daily basis.

Following the bath process, the parts are sent through a cure oven (375°F) for about 26 minutes for the finished product. Parts are subjected to gradually increasing temperatures to dry and cure in the hottest part of the oven and then sent through a cooling tunnel.

Tanks #3 & #6 are continuously overflowed to below-grade grated troughs to the below-grade mixing sump, and then routed to pretreatment area or holding tanks. Some rinse water from Tank #6 is also counter-current flowed. Some of the rinse from Tank #6, and all of the contents of Tanks #9 & #13 are counter-current flowed back to the previous "bath" for make-up water. Facility has scheduled tank empties on a weekly basis for Stages 3, 4, 6, and 7. Stage 5 is left alone and not emptied. Stage 8 is emptied once a month.

Bag filters (25 - 50 micron, depending upon the spray/rinse) are used to aid in keeping some of the sprays, rinses, and e-coat paint filtered for maximum use and longevity.

Wastewater storage tanks are used when the pretreatment system is not operational. Wastewater is pretreated usually from 0500 to 1700.

Vibratory tumbler with ceramic media and soapy water is used on raw material. The volume discharged has not been determined. However, this does drain to the same below-grade grated trough that gravity feeds into the below-grade mixing sump prior to pretreatment.

Adequate pollution prevention (P2) is present at the facility with the use of counter-current flows and in-process filtration.

The process and pretreatment area is surrounded by a 4" curb, acting as a secondary containment for all process water, rinse water, and pretreatment wastewater contained. In addition, there are dikes and berms around the storage tanks.

Other facility operations that do not generate wastewater are laser operations, machining, forming, welding, and final assembly.

General observations of facility's indoor housekeeping: Facility was maintained; walkways clear of debris.					
General observations of	area outside facility's buil	ding: Outside of facility v	was well-maintained.		
Check all sources of wastewater being discharged into the City's collection system. Indicate average gallons per day (GPD) (measured or estimated). If batch discharged, list the frequency and volume (1,000 gal/month, e.g.).					
✓ Process RinseOverflows~3,000 GPD	Equip. Cleanup	⊠ Floor Cleanup Minimal amount	Spent Bath Solutions ∼3,000 gallons batch discharged weekly		
Product Cleaning	Forklift Maint./Wash	☐ Tank Dragout ☐ Tank amount	Air Pollution Devices		
Boiler Blowdown	Spent Rinse Tanks Batch discharge ~3,000 gallons per week	Equipment Coolants	Non-Contact Cooling Water		
Stormwater					
List of major raw materials and chemicals used: Cold and hot-rolled steel, some aluminum; minimal amount of galvanized material; sodium metasilicate, sodium tripolyphosphate; zinc phosphate; manganese phosphate, zinc nitrate; nickel nitrate, triethanolamine, nitric acid, hydrofluozirconic acid					
Check Waste Stream Po	llutants of Concern from F	Process(es):			
BOD Solvents (List): All 40 C.F.R. 433 metals Facility submitted an approvable TOMP on 06/29/2009					
TSS	\square Cl ₂	O&G	S ⁻		
⊠pH					
Are there floor drains in the Process area?					

Attachment B: Pollution Prevention	n (P2) / Recycling Activities			
Does the facility have a written P2 Plan? Yes	No 🗵			
Does this facility practice P2? Yes 🖂	No 🗌			
Environmental Management System in place? Yes	No 🗵			
ISO Certified? 14001 – obtained October 2018 Yes	No 🗌			
Written Standard Operating Procedures? Yes	No 🖂			
Explain: Facility follows Technical Spec. #16949				
Preventative Maintenance Program? Yes	No 🗌			
Explain: Facility has a scheduled program for routine m	aintenance of equipment.			
Water Reuse? Yes ⊠	No 🗌			
Explain: Facility utilizes counter-current flows in the pro-	ocess and small volume rinses.			
Cost Accounting to Track Savings: Yes	No 🗌			
Explain: Facility employs a Superior Performance and Fin-house program. Process improvement teams have to activity per quarter.				
Inventory Control / "Green Purchasing": Yes Durchasing", etc.) Explain: SPARC program	No [(lean manufacturing/"green			
Employee Training: Yes	No 🗆			
Explain: Scheduled employee training for safety, quality				
Spent Solvent Reclamation? Yes No				
Explain: Non-hazardous solvent.				
Recycle Paper, Aluminum, Boxes, and Pallets? Yes Explain:	No 🗌			
Recycle Waste Oil, Solvents, and Lubricants? Yes	No 🗌			
Explain: Facility recycles waste oil. Non-hazardous solv				
Other Activities: N/A				
P2 Equipment/Practices in use:				
Overflow Alarms [located in sump/pit]	Aqueous Cleaning Solutions			
Deionized Rinsing [2 - 3 GPH – Stage 5]	Countercurrent Rinsing			
☐ Dragout Collection Trays [end of Stages 5 & 8]	Seal-Less Pumps			
Air Jets to Blow Parts Dry	Secondary Containment of Process Solutions			
Aqueous Paint Stripping Solutions	☐ Bead Blasting to Remove Paint			
Water Soluble Drawing Compounds in Press Room [Core Cut 6186 synthetic oil]	Recycle Overspray			
In-Process Recycle (Ion Exchange, Reverse Osmosis)	Conductivity Meters			
Dead Rinse Tanks				

Attachment C: Pretreatment System					
Are wastestreams seg	gregated before pretreatme	nt?	∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
Are they pretreated prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer?		∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A			
Was the pretreatment	system visually inspected	during this visit?	∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
Check which of the f	ollowing are utilized for pr	etreatment prior to disch	narge to sanitary sewer:		
Dissolved air floatation	Membrane Tech.	☐ Ion Exchange	☐ Biological Treatment		
_	Flow Equalization	Ozonation	Chlorinatina		
Centrifugation Chemical	Flow Equalization Oil/Water	Reverse Osmosis	Chlorinating Grit Removal		
Precipitation	Separation	Reverse Osinosis	On Keniovai		
Sludge Filter Press	Grease Trap	Screen	Solvent Separation		
pH Adjustment	Sand Trap	⊠ Sedimentation	Silver Recovery		
Belt/Disk Oil Skimmer					
Provide Brief Descriporder):	otion of Pretreatment Syste	em (leaks, cleanliness, ec	quipment not in working		
Regulated process wastewater is pumped into one (1) of four (4) holding tanks and into a below-grade concrete sump/pit. The sump/pit is approximately six (6) feet wide, eight (8) feet long, and four (4) feet deep (6'X 8' X 4'). Within the sump/pit, sulfuric acid and ferric chloride is mixed. From the sump/pit, the wastewater is pumped into a mixing tank where the pH is auto-adjusted, mixed with polymer, and flows to the clarifier to facilitate flocculation/settling. Flocculent from this process overflows into another sludge thickening tank, which is fed to the filter press. Sludge generated from the wastewater treatment process is sent off-site for disposal as a non-hazardous waste. Excess fluid from the sludge filter press is sent back to the sump/pit. After the clarifying process and pH adjustment (if needed), water is discharged to the City of Heber Springs via sewer. During the site visit, it was observed that there was not flocculent floating above the clarifier as witnessed during the 11/09/2011 inspection. All equipment appeared clean and operations with no apparent leaks.					
Does the description	match the schematic curre	ntly on file?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A		
DEQ does not currently have a schematic on file. This was requested during the on-site inspection. Facility personnel provided this information following the inspection.					
System Operator(s) Name:					
Chris Martindale; Casey Verser					
Does discharge permit require licensed operator?					
Is the System Operator(s) licensed by the State of Arkansas? Yes No N/A					
List Name(s) and License classification: Operators are not licensed at this time.					
Is training provided t	o the Pretreatment System	Operator(s)?	Yes No No N/A		
If yes, list type and frequency: Monthly training provided by chemical supplier.					
Is the discharge from the Pretreatment System?					
If any discharges are batch type or combination, describe the following:					
Continuous while in operation; system stops at the end of shift					

Volume of each batch:				
5,000 - 6,000 GPD on average;	maximum 8,000 – 9,000 GPD			
Describe process from which ba	tch originated (spent bath, e.g.):			
All process rinses overflow and batched discharged baths commingle in below-grade holding pit or storage tanks. These are then pumped to their pretreatment system.				
Approximate duration of batch discharge:				
Meter Type Calibration Procedure and Frequency Comments (Totalizer Reading)				
In-line GPI totalizer Not determined/discussed 4,964 gallons				

Attachment D: Chemical Storage Area(s)					
Does the facility have a designated chemical storage area(s)?					
Was this area(s) visually inspected?			∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
Describe Chemical Storage Area(s)	Are th this are	ere floor drains in ea?	If yes, where does this drain lead to?		
1. Bulk chemical storage area is fenced in with all barrels stored on top of grated holding containers	☐ Yes ⊠ No		☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer		
2. Chemicals stored next to area of use but out of areas of traffic	☐ Yes ⊠ No		☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer		
Does the Chemical Storage Area(s)	contain	any of the following	g?		
☐ Dikes, Berms for Containment		☐ Plugs for Floor	Drains		
Secondary Tanks for Holding		Premix (low) C	Concentrations		
⊠ Alarms	☐ Chain restraints, limited access				
Spills Control Kits for Cleanup		Notification Pr	ocedures		
Chemical desegregation within Storage Area		Other			
Chemical Inventory List (MSDS) on	file?		Yes No No N/A		
Were any new MSDS reviewed duri	ng the I	nspection?	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ N/A		
If yes, list below:					
Chemical storage comments: Volume of chemicals stored, building size, and configuration of process/pretreatment area would prevent any slug from entering the City's sewer system.					
Hazardous waste storage comments: None on-site.					
Chemical handling procedures (totes, dolly, buckets, hardline, etc.): All practices are utilized depending upon volume to be transported and the location of use.					
Hazardous waste handling procedures: Facility does not generate hazardous waste.					

Attachment E: Spill/Slug Control Plan				
Does the facility have a spill/slug control plan?	☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A			
Slug discharge potential is negligible.				
If yes, are the following requirements in place: (Refer to 40 C.F. R. 403.8(f)(2)	2)(v)(A-D)			
Is the spill/slug control plan more than two (2) years old?	Yes No No N/A			
(A) Describes discharge practices including non-routine batch (slug) discharges	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
(B) Describes storage and handling of chemicals	Yes No N/A			
(C) Procedures for immediate notification to POTW of slug discharges	Yes No N/A			
(D) 1. Describes measures for controlling toxic/hazardous pollutants	Yes No N/A			
2. Describes procedures and equipment for emergency response	Yes No N/A			
3. Describes follow-up to limit damage suffered by POTW or environment	Yes No No N/A			
4. Does the facility have spill/slug notification procedures posted?	Yes No N/A			
5. Are these procedures posted in areas where chemicals are used and stored?	Yes No No N/A			
6. Are personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	Yes No No N/A			
7. Have there been any non-routine episodic discharges or chemical spills in the past year?	Yes No No N/A			
8. If so, was the City notified of these occurrences?	Yes No N/A			
Visual Inspection of Discharge Lines/Points				
Provide description of manhole condition and flow channel of the following where applicable:				
Sampling / Monitoring Point: Sampling point is a downward vertical entrance to a 3" pipe in the middle of the trough in which supernatant from the clarifier is fed.				
Total Flow Monitoring Point: In-line flow meter.				
Upstream Manhole: N/A				
<u>Point of Connection</u> : 3" hole located in the trough with clarifier supernatant spilling into it. 3" hole drops down into connection to the City of Heber Springs' sewer system.				

Attachment F: Self-Monitoring & TTO/TOMP Requirements					
Sampling Collection Description:					
Grab sample is colle	cted from the cente	er of the trough prior	to entrance to	the city sewer.	
Where is the sample	point located?				
1 0 1			1 1	e in the middle of the trough	
in which supernatant	from the clarifier	is fed prior to entran	ce to the city s	sewer.	
☐ End of Process	End of Process Pretreatment Effluent		Total Flow		
Combined Flow]	Metered Flow		Flow Actuator	
Private Manhole]	Utility Manhole		Advance Notice Required	
Safety Hazards Identified					
Is the sample collection point adequate?					
Did the facility request a split sample on this sampling/inspection?					
Samples were not collected during this inspection.					
Does the facility perform self-monitoring tests in-house? ☐ Yes ☐ N/A					
If no, record the name and address of Contract Lab:					
Arkansas Testing Laboratories					
3301 Langley Avenue					
Searcy, AR 72143					
Automatic Sampler Manual Sampling					
IU Self-Monitoring Results reviewed (Refer to 40 C.F.R. Part 136)					
Contract lab certified by DEQ for test parameters?					
Dates and times of sample analysis recorded?					
Correct methods used for test analysis?					
EPA recommended holding times being met? Yes No N/A					
Chain of custody records for self-monitoring samples reviewed? Yes No N/A					
Were correct sample types collected?					
Dates and times of sample collection recorded?				Yes No No N/A	
Were samples presen	Yes No No N/A				
Were self-monitoring records on file for the past three (3) years?					
List the parameters t	he facility monitor	s and the frequency:			
\square Cd(t)	Cu(t)	Cr(t)	Ni(t)	⊠ Pb(t)	
\triangle Ag(t)	\boxtimes Zn(t)	рН	\boxtimes CN (t)	\square CN ⁻ (a-c)	
TTO-Vol	TTO-B/N	TTO-A.E.	TTO-Pes		
Toxic Organic Management Plan (TOMP) for Metal Finishers under CFR 433					
How does the IU report TTO? ☐ Analysis ☐ Certification Statement					
Does the facility have a TOMP? Yes No N/A					
•		ganics are used, store	ed and	<u> </u>	
disposed?		5 , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -		Yes No No N/A	

List the date of the last revision to the TOMP: 06/29/2009	
00/29/2009	
Is the TOMP being followed as written?	Yes □ No □N/A
If no, is there evidence that a TOMP is needed?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Comments: None.	